

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,240	08/24/2004	Levent M. Arslan	TI-19714R	1276
23494 TEXAS INST	7590 08/10/201 RUMENTS INCORPO	EXAM	INER	
P O BOX 653474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

uspto@ti.com

#### 10/621,240 ARSLAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL N. OPSASNICK 2626

Application No.

Applicant(s)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

WHIC	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVENER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issues of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fisted SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- If NO - Failu Any	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNITES from the mailing date of this communication, to to reply with the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEC DS U.S.C. § 133), opply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 9 <sup>th</sup> , 2010.				
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	see the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Cited State (PTO/05/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date: 5) Asitace of Informal Pater LApplication 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,240 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-11 are directed to a mathematical calculation which does not fall into one of the enumerated four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

Claims 1-11 are not directed toward:

1) a process/method (nothing is processed/transformed, the claims are toward the calculation of noise measurements; a statutory "process" under 35 USC 101 must (a) be tied to another statutory category (such as a manufacture or a machine), or (b) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. Claims 1-11 neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively recite structure associated with another statutory category, and therefore do not define a statutory process. 2) a machine i) there are no claim elements towards an appropriate apparatus,

Art Unit: 2626

e.g. the elements of a device that would perform the claim steps. 3) a manufacture (no claim elements pertain to an output product nor a 4) a composition of matter

### Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 1-11 are allowable over the prior art of record. Examiner notes that in the preamble of claim 4, the phrase "if noisy" has been interpreted as "of noisy". Correction in required.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As per applicants arguments against the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection, examiner argues that although other tests are considered in the evaluation of 35 U.S.C. 101, the claims still fail the means/transformation test, as well as other stated tests. As an example, applicants argue that each frame (which is a numerical representation of a portion of a data stream) has various mathematical formulas applied to the frame to perform the filtering, of audio/speech signals, and that this tie-in is enough consideration to overcome the 35 U.S.C 101 rejection. Examiner disagrees and argues that the claims are directed to a method which performs a mathematical calculations on speech/audio information, where the claims do not perform an underlying transformation of the underlying state to a different state or thing. If the acts of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter (Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-

Application/Control Number: 10/621,240

Art Unit: 2626

72, 175, USPQ at 676). Furthermore, claims define nonstatutory processes if they simply manipulate abstract ideas (Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360,31 USPQ2d at 1759).

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Michael N. Opsasnick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626 8/5/2010